

STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 18 JULY 2018 AT COUNCIL CHAMBER - COUNTY HALL, TROWBRIDGE BA14 8JN.

Present:

Cllr Fleur de Rhé-Philipe (Chairman), Cllr Derek Brown OBE (Vice-Chairman), Cllr Ernie Clark, Cllr Andrew Davis, Cllr Stewart Dobson, Cllr Sarah Gibson, Cllr David Jenkins, Cllr Tony Trotman, Cllr Fred Westmoreland and Cllr David Halik (Substitute)

Also Present:

Cllr Christopher Newbury, Cllr Russell Hawker, Cllr Mark Connolly, Cllr Gordon King, Cllr Richard Britton, Cllr Tom Rounds and Cllr Robert Yuill

38 Apologies

Cllr David Halik substituted for Cllr Christopher Newbury who was absent at the start of the meeting.

39 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Resolved:

To confirm and sign the minutes of the previous meeting held on 20 June 2018.

40 **Declarations of Interest**

Cllr David Jenkins declared a non-pecuniary interest in Minute Nos. 43 & 44 below as he was a member of Hills Waste Liaison Committee.

41 Chairman's Announcements

There were no Chairman's announcements.

42 **Public Participation**

The Chairman explained the rules governing public participation at meetings.

43 <u>18/03366/WCM - Northacre Resource Recovery Centre, Northacre</u>
<u>Industrial Estate, Westbury, BA13 4WD - Waste Management Facility and</u>
Welfare, Office and Workshop Building with ancillary development

The Case Officer informed the Committee that the Secretary of State had received a request to 'call-in' the two planning applications relating to the

Northacre Industrial Estate, Westbury. The Secretary of State would be unable to commence his consideration of this request until such time as this Council had reached its decision on the planning applications. Should this Committee be minded approve the applications then the Secretary of State could begin his consideration and decide whether or not to call in the applications for his own determination.

The Committee received a presentation from the Case Officer which set out the issues in respect of the application. The purpose of the report was to assess the merits of the proposal against the policies of the Development Plan and other material considerations and to consider the recommendation to grant planning permission subject to conditions, although now subject to the referral process set out above.

Members then had the opportunity to ask technical questions after which they heard statements from members of the public as follows, expressing their views regarding the planning application:-

- Mr Jim Marley, a local resident, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Ms Maggie Daniell, representing Westbury Gassification Action Group, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Ms Harriet James, a Warminster resident, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Cllr Mike Sutton, Deputy Mayor of Westbury, spoke in opposition to the application.

Members then heard the views of Cllr Russell Hawker, the local Member, who objected to the application and supported the views expressed by members of the public, particularly with regard to public health and safety and size of the proposal.

Cllr Gordon King, an adjoining Member, also expressed his opposition to the proposal, stating that he supported the views of Westbury Town Council and in particular, considered that the proposed development was too large.

During discussion, Cllr David Jenkins expressed concern especially regarding the impact such a proposal would have on the town and the likely effect it would have on the road network.

The Case Officer pointed out that for planning purposes the site and its close surroundings were designated as a Principal Employment Area and/or an Employment Allocation in the Wiltshire Core Strategy 2015. In addition, the Northacre Industrial Estate was an allocated Strategic Scale Waste Site in the Wiltshire & Swindon Waste Site Allocations Local Plan 2013.

The Case Officer stressed that the Committee could only consider the planning aspects of the proposal and that issues regarding health and safety and emissions were matters for consideration by the Environment Agency when issuing an environmental permit.

After further discussion, on the proposal of Cllr Tony Trotman, which was seconded by Cllr Fred Westmoreland,

Resolved:

Subject to the Secretary of State calling this application in for his determination, to grant planning permission, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
 - 18616-500-02 (red edged site plan) dated 03/2018
 - site-extent 1734-planning.dwg dated 20/04/2018
 - site-planning_1734-planning.dwg dated 08/04/2018
 - WMB_1734-planning.dwg dated 09/04/2018
 - OWB_1734-planning.dwg dated 09/04/2018

REASON: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission. Written notification of the date of commencement shall be sent to the local planning authority within 7 days of such commencement.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

The Waste Management Building element of the development hereby approved shall be used for the unloading, storage and re-loading of recyclable waste materials (mainly paper, cans, plastics, cardboard, green waste and glass) and for the storage of material from the MBT facility only, and it shall not be used for the unloading, storage and re-loading of any other form of waste.

Un-loading, storage and re-loading of the above permitted waste shall take place inside the Waste Management Building only, and shall not take place at, on or over any other parts of the application site.

REASON: To comply with the terms of the planning application and its justification, and to ensure the amenities of the wider environment are safeguarded.

4 The total tonnage of waste material imported to the Waste

Management Building shall not exceed 25,000 tonnes in any twelve month period.

REASON: To ensure that the development substantially accords with the terms of the Transport Statement and Noise Assessment which accompany the planning application, and there conclusions that this scale of operation would not cause harm to highway safety and/or amenity.

A record of the quantity (in tonnes) of waste materials delivered to the Waste Management Building and all the waste and wastederived products despatched from the site shall be maintained by the operator of the site and made available to the local planning authority upon request. All records shall be kept for at least 36 months.

REASON: In order that the local planning authority can monitor the approved development.

The operational hours of the Waste Management Building shall be limited to the following:

Monday to Friday: 07:00 to 20:00

Saturday: 07:00 to 13:00 (extending to 20:00 after bank

holidays)

Saturdays (waste from HRCs only): 13:00 to 20:00 Sundays (waste from HRCs only): 07:00 to 18:00

Bank Holidays: 07:00 to 20:00

The shall be no operation of the Waste Management Building on Christmas Day, Boxing Day or New Years Day.

REASON: To safeguard the amenities of the wider area.

7 Prior to first operation of the Waste Management Building a Transport Plan for the routing of HGVs to and from the site (broadly in line with the 'Existing Travel Plan' at Appendix 2 to the Transport Statement accompanying the planning application) shall be submitted to the submitted to the local planning authority for approval in writing. The Transport Plan shall include details of implementation and monitoring and shall be implemented in accordance with the approval thereafter. The results of the implementation and monitoring shall be made available to the local planning authority on request, together with any changes to the Plan arising from these results.

REASON: To manage the routing of HGV traffic in the interests of protecting the amenities of the wider area.

8 The Waste Management Building shall not first operate until a

scheme of hard and soft landscaping has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, the details of which shall include:-

- location and current canopy spread of all existing trees and hedgerows on the land;
- full details of any to be retained, together with measures for their protection in the course of development;
- a detailed planting specification showing all plant species, supply and planting
- sizes and planting densities; finished levels and contours:
- means of enclosure:
- all hard and soft surfacing materials.

REASON: The application contained insufficient information to enable this matter to be considered prior to granting planning permission and the matter is required to be agreed with the Local Planning Authority before the development becomes operational in order that the development is undertaken in an acceptable manner, to ensure a satisfactory landscaped setting for the development and the protection of existing important landscape features.

9 All soft and hard landscaping comprised in the approved details of landscaping shall be carried out in the first planting and seeding season following approval of the landscaping scheme; All shrubs, trees and hedge planting shall be maintained free from weeds and shall be protected from damage by vermin and stock. Any trees or plants which, within a period of five years, die, are removed, or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory landscaped setting for the development and the protection of existing important landscape features.

10 No part of the development hereby permitted shall be brought into use until the access, turning area and parking spaces serving that part have been completed in accordance with the details shown on the approved plans. The areas shall be maintained for those purposes at all times thereafter.

REASON: In the interests of highway safety.

11 No external lighting shall be installed on site until plans showing the type of light appliance, the height and position of fitting, illumination levels and light spillage spillage in accordance with the appropriate Environmental Zone standards set out by the

Institute of Lighting Engineers in their publication "Guidance Notes for the Reduction of Obtrusive Light" (ILE, 2005)", have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved lighting shall be installed and shall be maintained in accordance with the approved details and no additional external lighting shall be installed.

REASON: In the interests of the amenities of the area and to minimise unnecessary light spillage above and outside the development site.

12 There shall be no surface water discharge connection to the foul water network.

REASON: To safeguard the integrity of the foul water network and prevent flooding.

- 13 No development hereby approved shall take place until a site specific Construction Environmental Management Plan has been submitted to and been approved in writing by the local planning authority. The plan must demonstrate the adoption and use of the best practicable means to reduce the effects of noise, vibration, dust and site lighting during construction. The plan should include, but not be limited to:
 - Procedures for maintaining good public relations including complaint management, public consultation and liaison
 - Arrangements for liaison with the Council's Public Protection Team
 - All works and ancillary operations which are audible at the site boundary, or at such other place as may be agreed with the Local Planning Authority, shall be carried out only between the following hours:
 - 08 00 Hours and 18 00 Hours on Mondays to Fridays and 08 00 and 13 00 Hours on Saturdays and; at no time on Sundays and Bank Holidays.
 - Construction deliveries to and removal of plant, equipment, machinery and waste from the site must only take place within the permitted hours detailed above.
 - Mitigation measures as defined in BS 5528: Parts 1 and 2: 2009 Noise and Vibration Control on Construction and Open Sites shall be used to minimise noise disturbance from construction works.
 - Procedures for emergency deviation of the agreed working hours.
 - Control measures for dust and other air-borne pollutants.
 - Measures for controlling the use of site lighting whether required for safe working or for security purposes.
 - Construction traffic routes.

REASON: In the interests of the amenities of surrounding occupiers during the construction of the development.

14 No part of the development shall be brought into use until a Green Travel Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Travel Plan shall include details of implementation and monitoring and shall be implemented in accordance with these agreed details. The results of the implementation and monitoring shall be made available to the Local Planning Authority on request, together with any changes to the plan arising from those results.

The Travel Plan shall include provision for car sharing and for ultra low energy vehicle infrastructure (electric vehicle charging points).

REASON: In the interests of air quality and reducing vehicular traffic to the development.

15 Within 3 months of the waste management building hereby approved becoming first operational (including operation of the odour control plant) a noise assessment shall be carried out by an independent consultant to confirm compliance with the noise predictions set out in the 'Noise Assessment for Planning' by iON Acoustics Ltd dated 09/04/2018. outcomes of the noise assessment shall be provided in writing to the local planning authority for agreement in writing no later than 1 month after the initial 3 month period. In the event that the noise assessment finds that the noise predictions have been exceeded then details of additional mitigation measures shall be provided as part of the noise assessment together with a timeframe for installation. The additional mitigation shall then by installed in accordance with the agreed noise assessment and retained and maintained thereafter.

REASON: To protect local amenity from the adverse effects of noise.

16 Prior to the waste management building hereby approved becoming first operational an odour management plan (for the management of odours, should they arise) and a pest management plan (for the management of flies, vermin, etc., should they arise) shall be submitted to the local planning authority for approval in writing. Thereafter, the approved plans shall be implemented as approved, if/as necessary.

REASON: To safeguard amenity.

17 INFORMATIVE: This activity will require a Permit under the Environmental Permitting Regulations 2010 (as amended) to

operate. Should the applicant wish to apply for a permit they are advised to visit our website at https://www.gov.uk/guidance/check-if-you-need-an-environmental-permit to help decide what sort of permit is required. Any successfully determined environmental permit application will not consider the following, which are all considered as part of the planning permission –

- Alternative locations and sizes for this proposed facility
- Operational hours
- The transport of waste to and from the site or vehicles
- Traffic, access and road safety issues
- Visual impacts
- Construction materials used in building

44 <u>18/03816/WCM - Northacre Resource Recovery Centre, Northacre</u> <u>Industrial Estate, Westbury, BA13 4WD - Revision of the layout and design of Advanced Thermal Treatment Facility permitted under consent 14/12003/WCM</u>

The Case Officer informed the Committee that the Secretary of State had received a request to 'call-in' the two planning applications relating to the Northacre Industrial Estate, Westbury. The Secretary of State would be unable to commence his consideration of this request until such time as this Council had determined the planning applications. Should this Committee approve this application then the Secretary of State could begin his consideration and decide whether or not to call in the application for his own determination.

The Committee received a presentation from the Case Officer which set out the issues in respect of the application. The purpose of the report was to assess the merits of the proposal against the policies of the Development Plan and other material considerations and to consider the recommendation to grant planning permission subject to conditions, although now subject to the referral process set out above.

Members then had the opportunity to ask technical questions after which they heard statements from members of the public as follows, expressing their views regarding the planning application:-

- Mr David Levy, representing The Air That We Breathe Network, Westbury, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Mr Stephen Eades, representing Wiltshire & North Wiltshire Friends of the Earth, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Mr David Davis, a local resident, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Cllr Mike Sutton, Deputy Mayor of Westbury, spoke in opposition to the application.
- Cllr Kathy Hutt, Chair, Dilton Marsh Parish Council, spoke in opposition to the application.

Members then heard the views of Cllr Russell Hawker, the local Member, who objected to the application and supported the views expressed by members of the public.

Cllr Gordon King, an adjoining Member, also expressed his opposition to the proposal, stating that he supported the views of the objectors and in particular, considered that the proposed development was too large for a town the size of Westbury. He considered that the infrastructure around Westbury was inadequate for the scale of the development and that the development would be visually intrusive and detrimental to the landscape. He also stated that assurances regarding public health were necessary.

During discussion, Cllr David Jenkins expressed concern especially regarding the impact such a proposal would have on the town and the likely effect it would have on the road network. He also expressed concern that the effect of the proposal would have on the health, particularly of children and the elderly.

The Case Officer stressed that the Committee could only consider the planning aspects of the proposal and that issues regarding health and safety and emissions were matters for consideration by the Environment Agency when issuing an environment licence. Objectors to the proposal had the opportunity of submitting their concerns regarding the possible effect on health and the danger of emissions direct to the Environment Agency when considering the issuing of a licence to the applicant, should planning permission be granted.

After further discussion, Cllr Tony Trotman proposed the following motion, which was seconded by Cllr Fred Westmoreland,

"Subject to the Secretary of State calling this application in for his determination, to grant planning permission, subject to the conditions set out in the Case Officer's report."

Cllr Ernie Clark requested a recorded vote, which was supported by Cllr Andrew Davis, Cllr Sarah Gibson and Cllr David Jenkins.

Thereupon the motion was put to the meeting and voting was as follows:-

For the motion

Cllr Fleur de Rhe-Philipe, Cllr Derek Brown, Cllr Tony Trotman and Cllr Fred Westmoreland.

Against the motion

Cllr Ernie Clark, Cllr Andrew Davis, Cllr Stewart Dobson, Cllr Sarah Gibson, Cllr David Halik and Cllr David Jenkins.

The motion being lost, further discussion took place, after which on the proposal of the Chairman, which was seconded by Cllr Fred Westmoreland,

Resolved:

Subject to the Secretary of State calling this application in for his determination, to refuse planning permission for the following reason:-

The proposed development, by reason of its height, bulk and location on rising ground on the edge of the built-up area, would have an adverse impact on the appearance of the area. This would conflict with Core Policy 51 in the Wiltshire Core Strategy, which seeks to protect, conserve and enhance the visual amenity of the landscape.

45 18/03716/FUL - Junction 20 at A338 Park Road/Pennings Road and Station Road/Lahore Road, Tidworth - Townscape and highway improvement scheme to enhance Park Road/Pennings Road and Station Road/Lahore Road including creation of traffic islands containing relocated traffic lights, the removal of the right turn lane from Station Road to Pennings Road and creation of a signalised slip lane from Park Road to Lahore Road.

The Committee received a presentation from the Case Officer which set out the issues in respect of the application and advised the Committee of the recent observations received from the Council's Drainage Engineer.

The purpose of the report was to assess the merits of the proposal against the policies of the development plan and other material considerations and to consider the recommendation to grant planning permission.

Members then had the opportunity to ask technical questions after which they heard a statement from Mr Christopher Tennant, WGI Planning, agent on behalf of the Secretary of State, in support of the application.

Members then heard the views of Cllr Mark Connolly, the local Member, who whilst supporting much of the scheme, objected to the removal of the right hand lane out of Station Road on to Pennings Road (Road A338) on the grounds that it would cause problems for both traffic exiting Tesco Store and in Station Road.

The Case Officer explained that the removal of this right hand lane would lead to the build up in traffic of a maximum of four vehicles which was not considered to be significant when compared with the benefits which would be made from the introduction of the scheme as a whole. It was noted that the Council's Highways Engineer had raised no objections to the scheme which would be kept under review. The Highways Development Control Officer advised that the section 278 Highways Act 1980 Agreement to secure the works could have a requirement for a Stage 4 Road Safety Audit to ensure the junction operates in a safe and efficient manner following the implementation of the improvement works.

After discussion, on the proposal of Cllr Andrew Davis, which was seconded by Cllr Tony Trotman,

Resolved:

To grant planning permission, subject to the following conditions and informatives:-

1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved document and plans:
 - Drawing No. SK20D LP01 Rev A Location Plan, received 18.04.18:
 - Drawing No. SK20D LP02 Rev A Existing Layout Plan, received 18.04.18;
 - Drawing No. A089116-10 LS20-01 Landscape Strategy, received 18.04.18;
 - Drawing No. A089116-10 LS20-02 Landscape Strategy: Sections, received 18.04.18;
 - Drawing No. 500 General Arrangement, received 18.04.18:
 - Drawing No. SK541 Rev A General Arrangement Sections, received 18.04.18;
 - Document. Junction 20 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy (March 2018) (Report No. A089116-10/REP/005) by WYG.

REASON: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the Arboricultural Method Statement contained within the Arboricultural Report Salisbury Plain Junction 20 A388 Pennings Road, Tidworth (March 2018) by DIO.

REASON: In order that the development is undertaken in an acceptable manner, in order that the Local Planning Authority may be satisfied that the trees to be retained on and adjacent to the site will not be damaged during the

construction works and to ensure that as far as possible the work is carried out in accordance with current best practice and section 197 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990.

No development shall commence on site until all trees and other woody vegetation will be assessed for their ability to support roosting bats. Trees that have developed features suitable to support bat roosts will be subjected to a climbing survey to determine any current or recent bat use of suitable features. If any features are found to support bats, a licence, obtained from Natural

removal of trees.

REASON: The matter is required to be agreed with the Local Planning Authority before development commences in order that the development is undertaken in an acceptable manner, to militate against the loss of existing biodiversity and nature habitats.

England will be in place prior to any cutting, trimming or

No development shall commence on site until a lighting plan demonstrating that a level of 1 lux or less can be achieved at the edges of sensitive ecological receptors such as trees both within and adjacent to the site has been submitted to an approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme.

REASON: The matter is required to be agreed with the Local Planning Authority before development commences in order that the development is undertaken in an acceptable manner, to militate against the loss of existing biodiversity and nature habitats.

Tree and vegetation removal will not be carried out between March and July inclusive, unless a documented search for nesting birds has been carried out and the area has been found to be clear of any active nests.

REASON: The matter is required to be agreed with the Local Planning Authority before development commences in order that the development is undertaken in an acceptable manner, to militate against the loss of existing biodiversity and nature habitats.

7 INFORMATIVE TO APPLICANT:

The consent hereby granted shall not be construed as authority to carry out works on the highway. The applicant is advised that a license will be required from Wiltshire's Highway Authority before any works are carried out on any footway, footpath, carriageway, verge or other land forming part of the highway.

8 INFORMATIVE TO APPLICANT:

Please note the following guidance from the Environment Agency:

Safeguards should be implemented during the construction phase to minimise the risks of pollution from the development. Such safeguards should cover:

- the use of plant and machinery
- wheel washing and vehicle wash-down
- oils/chemicals and materials
- the use and routing of heavy plant and vehicles
- the location and form of work and storage areas and compounds
- the control and removal of spoil and wastes.

In addition to any other permission(s) that you may have already obtained, e.g. planning permission, you may need an environmental permit for flood risk activities (formerly known as Flood Defence Consent prior to 6 April 2016) if you want to carry out work:

- in, under, over or near a main river (including where the river is in a culvert)
- on or near a flood defence on a main river
- in the flood plain of a main river
- on or near a sea defence

For further information and to check whether a permit is required please visit: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-activities-environmental-permits

For any further advice, please contact your local Environment Agency FRA Permitting Officer, daniel.griffin@environment-agency.gov.uk /

yvonne.wiacek@environment-agency.gov.uk

9 INFORMATIVE TO APPLICANT:

Please note the following guidance from Veoila Water:

The proposed development will impact Veolia Water public water and waste water apparatus which may / will required diversion, re-alignment or lowering. We would anticipate detailed consultation in a suitable and sufficient timescale to allow us to survey the area in question and to provide costed schemes under S185 of the Water Industry Act and in line with usual highway works practice.

10 INFORMATIVE TO APPLICANT:

The applicant is advised that the required Section 278 Highways Act 1980 Agreement to secure the works will have a requirement for a Stage 4 Road Safety Audit.

11 INFORMATIVE TO APPLICANT:

The applicant is advised that the flow rates from the surface water scheme will need to be agreed with the Lead Local Flood Authority and should not exceed existing flow rates.

46 <u>17/01798/FUL- Valley View, East Grimstead - Change use of land for the stationing of one mobile home, one touring caravan, and a day/utility room building for residential purposes, together with the formation of hardstanding, and landscaping and erection of maximum 2.8 fence (retrospective).</u>

The Committee received a presentation from the Case Officer which set out the issues in respect of the application.

The purpose of the report was to assess the merits of the proposal against the policies of the development plan and other material considerations and to consider the recommendation to grant planning permission.

Members then had the opportunity to ask technical questions after which they heard statements from the following members of the public expressing their views regarding the planning application.

Ms Gwyneth Doran, a local resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal.

- Mr Nat Green, agent to the applicant, spoke in support of the application.
- Cllr Gill Sowerby, Chairman of Grimstead Parish Council, spoke in opposition to the proposal.

Members then heard the views of Cllr Richard Britton, the local Member, who expressed concern that the village of East Grimstead contained no shops, school or a public house and was therefore not a sustainable location for this proposal. He considered that the proposal was in conflict with Core Strategy Policy 47 which considered the needs of gypsies and travellers.

The Head of Development Management explained that there was a shortage of travellers' sites in the south of Wiltshire and an additional 37 pitches were required to bring numbers up to an acceptable level.

After discussion, on the proposal of Cllr Fred Westmoreland, which was seconded by Cllr Stewart Dobson,

Resolved:

To refuse planning permission for the following reasons:-

Notwithstanding the accepted shortfall in gypsy and traveller sites in the housing market area within which the site is located, the Council consider that the adverse impacts of granting permission on this site would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. The Council consider that these adverse impacts lie in the failure of the proposal to meet specific criteria set out for gypsy and traveller sites in Core Policy 47 of the Wiltshire Core Strategy, which is the development plan for the area, adopted in 2015. The proposal fails to meet criteria ii, in that the site is not served by a safe and convenient pedestrian access; criteria v, in that the site is not located within a reasonable distance of a range of local services and community facilities; criteria vi in that the site is located in an isolated position within a Special Landscape Area where the development will have an unacceptable impact on the character and appearance of the landscape; and criteria viii, in that when taken together with other gypsy and traveller sites in the vicinity, the cumulative impact is inappropriate to the small scale of the existing nearby settlement of East Grimstead.

47 <u>Date of Next Meeting</u>

Resolved:

To note that the next scheduled meeting of this Committee was due to be held on Wednesday 15 August 2018, in the Council Chamber at County Hall, Trowbridge, starting at 10.30am.

48 Urgent Items

There were no items of urgent business.

(Duration of meeting: 10.30 am - 2.15 pm)

The Officer who has produced these minutes is Roger Bishton of Democratic Services, direct line 01225 713035, e-mail roger.bishton@wiltshire.gov.uk

Press enquiries to Communications, direct line (01225) 713114/713115